

#18 Arndt D
Snuw 8-9-03

RECEIVED
6/13/03 MB

Official

PATENTS

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:)	
)	
James O. Farmer, et al.)	
)	
Serial No.: 09/899,410)	Group Art Unit: 2633
)	
Filed: July 5, 2001)	Examiner: Bello, Agustin
)	
For: System and Method for Communicating)	
Optical Signals between a Data Service)	
Provider and Subscribers)	

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR § 1.111

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

June 13, 2003

Sir:

The Applicants note that a first response under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 was filed via facsimile on June 12, 2003. However, this June 12th response was transmitted to an incorrect fax number.

This Supplemental Response of June 13, 2003 is identical to the response of June 12, 2003. The Applicants are submitting this June 13th response because it is believed that the first response of June 12, 2003 will not be properly matched with the application filed. Any confusion that this Supplemental Response may generate is regretted.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO, Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, GAU 2633, Attention: Examiner Bello Facsimile No. 703-872-9314, on June 13, 2003.


Steven P. Wigmore, Reg. No. 40, 447